





UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Viginia 22313-1450 www.nspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/965,122	09/28/2001	Masataka Tamura	016910-0475	1777	
22428	7590 05/05/2003		13-		
FOLEY AND LARDNER SUITE 500 3000 K STREET NW			EXAMINER		
			JOHNSON, JONATHAN J		
WASHINGTO	ON, DC 20007		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 1725		
		,			
			DATE MAILED: 05/05/2003	DATE MAILED: 05/05/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Applicati n No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	09/965,122	TAMURA ET AL.	_			
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Jonathan Johnson	1725				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars n the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED 28 April 2003 FAILS TO PLACE THI Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to averinal rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	roid abandonment of this applica a timely filed amendment which (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely	ation. A proper repl n places the applica	y to a Ition in			
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]						
 a)	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF TH	g date of the final rejecti HE FINAL REJECTION.	on. See MPEP			
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Official timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C	of extension and the corresponding amo the shortened statutory period for reply be later than three months after the mai	ount of the fee. The apport originally set in the final	office action; or			
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.						
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:					
(a)						
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);						
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in its issues for appeal; and/or	n better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or si	mplifying the			
(d) they present additional claims without canceli	ng a corresponding number of f	inally rejected claim	ıs.			
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.						
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection	ion(s):					
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed	amendment			
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because:		idered but does NO	T place the			
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered bec raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY t	to issues which wer	e newly			
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we	t(s) a)⊠ will not be entered or b ould be rejected is provided belo)∏ will be entered a ow or appended.	and an			
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to: <u>15</u> .						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>13,16-19 and 21</u> .						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 1-12 and 20.						
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is	a) ☐ approved or b) ☐ disapp	proved by the Exam	iner.			
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme	nt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	·				
10. Other:						



Continuation of 2. NOTE: Applcant's proposed amendment will require a new search as applicant has added a new claim limitation, specifically the limitation "supplying welding wire from within the nozzle" in Claim 13, that materially changes the scope of the claim...

TOM DUNN
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1700